Key Questions

- Do we take enough time for collective reflection and learning?
- How deep is our learning about communities and child protection issues?
- Do we do enough to enable community ownership, power, and action on behalf of vulnerable children?
- How sustainable are our current approaches to strengthening community child protection systems?
- How strong is our evidence base?
- What constructive alternatives are there to dominant, top-down approaches?
Community-Driven Approaches to Child Protection

Workshop Objectives

• Reflect collectively on the limits of expert driven, top-down approaches;

• Identify the advantages of innovative, community owned and driven approaches; and

• Enable learning about and interest in community-owned and driven approaches.
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Background—Global Review

- Dilemma in 2008—many agencies facilitated community Child Protection Committees, but how effective and sustainable are they?
- Inter-agency effort
- Emergency, transition, longer-term development
- Key findings
  - Weak evidence base
  - Low levels of ownership & sustainability
  - Do no harm challenges—setting up parallel systems
  - 7 effectiveness factors
Seven Factors Contributed to theEffectiveness and Sustainability of Community-Based Child Focused Groups

- Community ownership and responsibility
- Incorporating and building on existing resources
- Leaders’ support
- Genuine child participation
- Ongoing management of issues of power, diversity, inclusivity
- Resourcing—ongoing training/capacity building, material support
- Linkages—engagement with formal and nonformal, traditional systems
Different Ways Agencies Engage with the Community

- **Category 1**: Direct implementation by agency: The agency is a service provider; community members are beneficiaries.

- **Category 2**: Community involvement in agency initiative: The agency is a promoter of its own initiative, a planner and a trainer, and community members are volunteers and beneficiaries.

- **Category 3**: Community owned and managed activities mobilized by external agency: The agency is a catalyst, capacity builder, a facilitator of linkages, and a funder after community ownership has developed. The community members are analysts, planners, implementers, assessors, and also beneficiaries.

- **Category 4**: Community owned and managed activities initiated from within the community: The agency is a capacity builder and funder, and community members are analysts, planners, implementers, assessors, and also beneficiaries.
Determinants of Ownership—Approach to Community Engagement
Factors That Promote or Limit Community Ownership

• Promote
  - A sense of collective responsibility
  - Patient cultivation
  - Skill in facilitation
  - Identity
  - Mobilization of community resources

• Limit
  - Early introduction of large sums of money
  - Agency oriented engagement with community
  - Didactic, top-down approaches
  - Failure to build on local ideas and resources
ILI in West Africa and East Africa

Question: Can Community-Based Child Protection Mechanisms be made more effective through community driven action and linkage with district level aspects of the formal system?

- Build upon what is already there—ethnography
- Community-driven approach—community selects issue and addresses it through linking intervention
- Public health approach to evaluation: Population-based measures of number of cases and also risk factors and protective factors
- Use of learning and approach to strengthen practice and policy
Case of Sierra Leone

- Brutal, decade long war
- Many Child Welfare Committees established
- 2007—Child Rights Act mandated CWC in each village
- Implementation focused on training committee members and on didactic child rights education
- Top-down approach
- Ethnographic research in Bombali and Moyamba Districts
- Trained national researchers lived and worked in local communities, collecting data on the actual functioning of the child protection system
Multi-Phase Action Research In Sierra Leone

- Identification of 2 districts, 4 chiefdoms, 12 villages
- Ethnography on all 12 villages
- Definition of Outcomes based on local views

Randomization of Chiefdom and 3 villages clusters to intervention or comparison group

- Bombali District
  - Chiefdom A: 3 villages
    - T1: Baseline data
    - T2: Repeated data
    - Intervention
    - T3: Repeated data
  - Chiefdom B: 3 villages
    - T1: Baseline data
    - T2: Repeated data
    - T3: Repeated data

- Moyamba District
  - Chiefdom C: 3 villages
    - T1: Baseline data
    - T2: Repeated Data
    - No intervention
    - T3: Repeated data
  - Chiefdom D: 3 villages
    - T1: Baseline data
    - T2: Repeated data
    - T3: Repeated data

- Intervention Cluster
- Comparison Cluster
A Different Approach

- Inter-agency approach with strong, early collaboration with Government and CP Com
- Outsiders as learners, facilitators, documentors
- Respectful listening and learning--ethnography
- Feeding information back and inviting reflection
- Reflection and mobilization as basis for community-driven action (community selects the issue to address, develops an intervention, implements the intervention, evaluates it)
- Bottom-up approach—intervention requirement was linkage of and collaboration between the community and the formal system
- Problematizing ‘community’
Ethnographic Research:
Local Views of Harms to Children

‘Most serious’ harms
• Out of school children
• Teen pregnancy out of wedlock
• Heavy work
• Maltreatment of children not living with their biological parents

Additional harms
• Child beating
• Cruelty
• Incest, rape, and sexual abuse
• Neglect and bad parenting
• Witchcraft
• Abduction & ritual murder
• Child rights
Community-Driven Intervention

- Six communities—three each in one Chiefdom of Bombali and Moyamba District, respectively
- External, Sierra Leonean facilitators lived and worked in the villages
- Inclusive planning and action: teenage girls, teenage boys, women, men, elders
- Priority issue to address: teenage pregnancy
- Chose to address it through family planning, sexual and reproductive health education, and life skills
- Peer Educators and whole community approach
- Linkage with District Medical Officer and District Social Welfare Office
- Population based measures of children’s risk and well-being outcomes
Key Elements of the Intervention

- Collective dialogue, awareness raising and negotiation
- Collective decision-making, empowerment, and responsibility
- Linkage of community with health services
- Peer education
- Use of culturally relevant media—song, drama
- Child leadership and messaging—‘5920’
- Inclusion and outreach—sub-groups, home visits
- Parent-child discussions
- Role modeling
- Legitimation by authority
Promising Findings

- Increased access to and use of contraceptives
- Increased intent of girls and their close friends to ask partners to use condoms
- Stronger linkage of communities with the formal health system at district level
- Reduced school dropout
- Girls say ‘No’ more often to unwanted sex
- Parents and children discuss sex, pregnancy, and pregnancy prevention in a constructive manner
- Spin off effects—addressing early marriage
- Strong community ownership and motivation to continue the work without external support
Implications for Practitioners

- Use elicitive, respectful methods in assessment
- Learn about what communities already do to protect children, even if they do not call it ‘child protection’
- Start where communities are—rethink leading with child rights and outsider categories & tools
- Encourage collective reflection, planning, and action regarding children’s issues
- Rethink our role—from ‘expert’ to co-learner and facilitator about effective community action
- Create space for community action
- Avoid ‘facipulation’
- Where community practice contradicts the African Charter, facilitate a process of internally guided social change
- Model and enable critical, reflective practice—Do No Harm
Wider Impact

• Influenced the development of the new national Child and Family Welfare Policy
  - family and community mechanisms at center
  - no new structures
• Workshops with practitioner agencies
• New mode of work by the national technical committee for rolling out the new approach: community-driven platform & scaling up
• Ongoing support from the Ministry of Social Welfare Gender and Children’s Affairs